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The Toll Bridge Program Oversight Committee (TBPOC) is pleased to submit the 2011 Second Quarter Project Progress and Financial Update for the San Francisco Bay Area Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit and Regional Measure 1 Programs, prepared pursuant to California Streets and Highways Code Section 30952.

The TBPOC is tasked to perform project oversight and control over the Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program (TBSRP) and is comprised of the Director of the Department of Transportation (Caltrans), the Executive Director of the Bay Area Toll Authority (BATA), and the Executive Director of the California Transportation Commission (CTC). This second quarter report includes project progress and activities for the Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program through June 30, 2011.

Significant progress continues to be made on the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge East Span Replacement Project. In late May, workers successfully hoisted the “world’s largest cable saddle” atop the Self-Anchored-Suspension (SAS) span. With a flat base and curved top, the 450-ton cable saddle is engineered so that the nearly mile-long single cable can pass through the tower twice. Unlike traditional suspension bridges, the cable of an SAS bridge anchors into the roadway, rather than the ground. Starting at the bridge’s eastern end, the cable will travel up and over the double saddle to the western span, then loop back over the tower to anchor into the east end again. The structural elements of the main tower are now complete with the saddle in place. Just shy of its 525-foot apex, the signature tower will be crowned with a decorative head once the cable is installed early next year.

In June 2011, the contractor installed the 23rd and 24th steel roadway boxes that further close the gap between the completed skyway bridge and SAS. The remaining four roadway boxes are scheduled to arrive in the Bay Area by early September 2011. In a sign of further progress, orange catwalks have been
erected from atop the tower to the bridge deck to help workers install the main bridge cable safely. The catwalks provide safe access for workers to install the main cable erection system.

While each installed segment represents a major step forward, we continue to be mindful of the challenges that remain and of our goal to open the new bridge to traffic as soon as possible. To those ends, we are working towards a “seismic safety opening” of the bridge before the end of 2013 with contract incentives and disincentives and selective acceleration of certain critical path activities. One acceleration activity will be realignment and widening of the eastern end of the existing bridge in Oakland to allow for both eastbound and westbound directions of the new bridge to open to traffic when the SAS is ready. The eastbound realignment opened as scheduled over Memorial Day weekend without significantly affecting traffic. The westbound realignment is scheduled to open in early 2012.

Seismic retrofit work on the Dumbarton and Antioch bridges is also ongoing. On the Antioch Bridge, new seismic isolation bearings are now being installed to give the bridge more flexibility during an earthquake and new steel cross bracing is being fabricated and installed. On the Dumbarton Bridge, 48-inch diameter steel piles are being driven into the ground along the eastern approach to the bridge.

As of the end of the second quarter of 2011, the 50 percent probable draw on program contingency is $200 million. The potential draw ranges from $60 million to $300 million. The current $308 million program contingency balance can be used to cover the costs of these identified risks if necessary. In accordance with the approved TBSRP Risk Management Plan, risk mitigation actions are continuously developed and implemented to reduce the potential draw on the program contingency.

The TBPOC is committed to providing the Legislature with comprehensive and timely reporting on the TBSRP. If there are any questions, or if any additional information is required, please do not hesitate to contact the members of the TBPOC.
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Introduction

In July 2005, Assembly Bill (AB) 144 (Hancock) created the Toll Bridge Program Oversight Committee (TBPOC) to implement a project oversight and project control process for the new Benicia-Martinez Bridge and State Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program projects. The TBPOC consists of the Director of Caltrans, the Executive Director of the Bay Area Toll Authority (BATA) and the Executive Director of the California Transportation Commission (CTC). The TBPOC’s project oversight and control processes include, but are not limited to, reviewing bid specifications and documents, reviewing and approving significant change orders and claims in excess of $1 million (as defined by the Committee), and keeping the Legislature and others apprised of current project progress and status. In January 2010, Assembly Bill (AB) 1175 (Torlakson) amended the TBSRP to include the Antioch and Dumbarton Bridges seismic retrofit projects. The current Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit Projects</th>
<th>Seismic Safety Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dumbarton Bridge Seismic Retrofit</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antioch Bridge Seismic Retrofit</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge East Span Replacement</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge West Approach Replacement</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge West Span Seismic Retrofit</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Mateo-Hayward Bridge Seismic Retrofit</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Seismic Retrofit</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1958 Carquinez Bridge Seismic Retrofit</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962 Benicia-Martinez Bridge Seismic Retrofit</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego-Coronado Bridge Seismic Retrofit</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vincent Thomas Bridge Seismic Retrofit</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The New Benicia-Martinez Bridge is part of a larger program of toll-funded projects called the Regional Measure 1 (RM1) Toll Bridge Program under the responsibility of BATA and Caltrans. While the rest of the projects in the RM1 program are not directly under the responsibility of the TBPOC, BATA and Caltrans will continue to report on their progress as an informational item. The RM1 program includes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regional Measure 1 Projects</th>
<th>Open to Traffic Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interstate 880/State Route 92 Interchange Reconstruction</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962 Benicia-Martinez Bridge Reconstruction</td>
<td>Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Benicia-Martinez Bridge</td>
<td>Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Deck Overlay Rehabilitation</td>
<td>Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Trestle, Fender &amp; Deck Joint Rehabilitation</td>
<td>Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westbound Carquinez Bridge Replacement</td>
<td>Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Mateo-Hayward Bridge Widening</td>
<td>Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Route 84 Bayfront Expressway Widening</td>
<td>Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richmond Parkway</td>
<td>Open</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SUMMARY OF MAJOR PROJECT HIGHLIGHTS, ISSUES, AND ACTIONS

Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program Risk Management

A major element of the 2005 AB144, the law creating the TBPOC, was legislative direction to implement a more aggressive risk management program. Such a program has been implemented in stages over time to ensure development of a robust and comprehensive approach to risk management.

A comprehensive risk assessment is performed for each project in the program on a quarterly basis. Based upon those assessments, a forecast is developed using the average cost of risk. These forecasts can both increase and decrease as risks are identified, resolved or retired. Nonetheless, assurances have been made that the public is informed of the risks that have been identified and the possible expense they could necessitate.

As of the end of the second quarter of 2011, the 50 percent probable draw on program contingency (see graph on page 41) is $200 million. The potential draw ranges from $60 million to $300 million. The $308 million program contingency balance can be used to cover the costs of identified risks. In accordance with the approved TBSRP Risk Management Plan, risk mitigation actions are continuously developed and implemented to reduce the potential draw on the program contingency.

San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge (SFOBB) East Span Seismic Replacement Project SAS Superstructure Contract

The prime contractor constructing the Self-Anchored Suspension (SAS) Bridge from the completed Skyway to Yerba Buena Island is a joint venture of American Bridge/Fluor (ABF). Significant progress is being made both in the Bay Area and around the world.

As of the end of June 2011, workers successfully hoisted the “world’s largest cable saddle” atop the Self-Anchored-Suspension (SAS) span’s tower. The structural elements of the main tower are now complete with the saddle in place. Just shy of its 525-foot apex, the signature tower will be crowned with a decorative head after the cable is installed early next year.
The first 24 of 28 steel roadway boxes were installed as of the end of June 2011. The remaining four roadway boxes are in fabrication and are expected to ship in early August 2011 and arrive in Oakland by early September.

These boxes, fabricated in Shanghai, China, join other bridge components that have been arriving from around the country and the world. All bridge components undergo a rigorous quality review by the fabricator, ABF, and Caltrans to ensure that only bridge components that have been built in accordance to the specifications will be shipped. The TBPOC’s goal is to open the bridge to traffic in both directions by December 2013.

Yerba Buena Island Detour Contract
The YBI temporary detour structure contract was completed in October 2010.

Yerba Buena Island Transition Structures #1 Contract
The YBITS#1 contract has been awarded to MCM Construction, Inc., the same contractor that completed the Oakland Touchdown (OTD) #1 contract. MCM mobilized in September 2010, and has had total access to the area since October 1, 2010. The MCM contract includes completing the remaining foundations and the bridge deck structure from the Yerba Buena Island Tunnel to the Self-Anchored Suspension (SAS) bridge.

Work is focused on the westbound transition structure’s substructure and superstructure from the tunnel to the Self-Anchored Suspension bridge as shown in the picture below. The first concrete pour on the westbound superstructure is scheduled for July 22, 2011.
SUMMARY OF MAJOR PROJECT HIGHLIGHTS, ISSUES, AND ACTIONS

Oakland Touchdown #1 Contract
The Oakland Touchdown (OTD) #1 contractor, MCM Construction, Inc. completed the work on June 8, 2010. The contract constructed the westbound approach from the toll plaza to the Skyway structure and the portion of the eastbound approach that is not in conflict with the existing bridge structure.

Oakland Detour
The detour realigns the existing bridge approach to the south to allow for construction of the remaining portion of OTD #2 that was in conflict with the existing bridge. The eastbound detour was completed on May 30, 2011. The westbound detour is forecast to open at the beginning of spring 2012.

Oakland Touchdown #2 Contract
The OTD #2 contract for construction will be advertised in October 2011 and awarded in April 2012.

Existing SFOBB Dismantling
To expedite opening of a new eastbound on ramp and the pedestrian/bicycle pathway from Yerba Buena Island, the TBPOC has decided to split the bridge dismantling project into at least two contracts. The dismantling of the superstructure of the main cantilever section of the existing bridge will be incorporated into the YBITS #2 contract, while the remaining portions of the existing bridge will be removed by separate contract or contracts yet to be determined.

Antioch Bridge Seismic Retrofit
The major retrofit strategy for the bridge includes installing seismic isolation bearings at each of the 41 piers, strengthening piers 12 through 31 with steel cross-bracing between column bents and installing steel casings at all columns located at the Sherman Island approach slab bridge. See project progress on page 34.

Dumbarton Bridge Seismic Retrofit
The Dumbarton bridge is a combination of three bridge types; reinforced concrete slab approaches supported on multiple pile extension columns, precast - prestressed concrete girders, and steel box...
girders supported on reinforced concrete piers. The retrofit strategy for the bridge includes superstructure and deck modifications and installation of isolation bearings. See project progress on page 36.

**TBSRP Capital Outlay Support**

The capital outlay support (COS) budget, originally established as a part of AB 144 in 2005, was based on a schedule that assumed bridge opening in 2012. After the SAS contract was rebid, interested contractors requested an additional year to be added to the schedule. To ensure a competitive bidding pool, the TBPOC changed the approved schedule to reflect bridge opening in 2013, but delayed increasing the COS budget to cover the project extension with the belief that an accelerated early completion was still possible and that COS costs could be contained. Since that time, early completion has not materialized and the TBPOC has subsequently approved COS budget increases to be funded from the COS reserves set aside within the original program contingency for project extensions or delays. Opportunities to economize and reduce costs in this area will continue to be pursued. However, additional COS is forecast to be needed from the program contingency.

**TBSRP Programmatic Risks**

This category includes risks that are not yet scoped within existing contracts and/or that spread across multiple contracts. The interdependencies between all of the contracts in the program result in the potential for one contract’s delay to impact the entire program that are accounted for in the net programmatic risks.

**Regional Measure 1 Toll Bridge Program (RM1)**

**Interstate 880/State Route 92 Interchange Reconstruction Project**

The project is forecast to be substantially completed in September 2011 pending weather or unforeseen construction delays. Caltrans is scheduled to open the westbound 92 to 880 in August 2011.
### Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program Cost Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### SFOBB East Span Seismic Replacement

**Capital Outlay Construction**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Cost to Date</th>
<th>Forecast</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skyway</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>1,293.0</td>
<td>(38.9)</td>
<td>1,254.1</td>
<td>1,237.1</td>
<td>(8.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAS Marine Foundations</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>313.5</td>
<td>(32.6)</td>
<td>280.9</td>
<td>274.8</td>
<td>(2.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAS Superstructure</td>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>1,753.7</td>
<td>293.1</td>
<td>2,046.8</td>
<td>1,530.8</td>
<td>2,078.9</td>
<td>32.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YBI Detour</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>131.9</td>
<td>360.9</td>
<td>492.8</td>
<td>465.8</td>
<td>482.8</td>
<td>(10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YBI Transition Structures</td>
<td>(YBITS)</td>
<td>299.3</td>
<td>(51.5)</td>
<td>247.8</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>305.1</td>
<td>57.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Antioch Bridge Seismic Retrofit

**Capital Outlay Construction and Mitigation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Cost to Date</th>
<th>Forecast</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(13.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Dumbarton Bridge Seismic Retrofit

**Capital Outlay Construction and Mitigation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Cost to Date</th>
<th>Forecast</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Other Program Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Cost to Date</th>
<th>Forecast</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Program Costs</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Programmatic Risks</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Contingency</td>
<td>900.0</td>
<td>(592.2)</td>
<td>307.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>107.9</td>
<td>(199.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Total Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Cost to Date</th>
<th>Forecast</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8,685.0</td>
<td>397.0</td>
<td>9,082.0</td>
<td>7,157.5</td>
<td>9,082.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- Within approved schedule and budget
- Identified potential project risks that could significantly impact approved schedules and budgets if not mitigated
- Known project impacts with forthcoming changes to approved schedules and budgets

<sup>2</sup> Figures may not sum up to totals due to rounding effects.
## Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program Schedule Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AB144/SB 66 Project Completion Schedule Baseline (July 2005)</th>
<th>TBPOC Approved Changes (Months)</th>
<th>Current TBPOC Approved Completion Schedule (June 2011)</th>
<th>Schedule Variance (Months)</th>
<th>Schedule Status</th>
<th>Remarks/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>i = g + h</td>
<td>j</td>
<td>k = j - i</td>
<td>l</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SFOBB East Span Seismic Replacement

#### Contract Completion

- **Skyway Completion**
  - Contract Completion: Apr 2007
  - Completion Forecast: Dec 2007
  - Schedule Variance: -

- **SAS Marine Foundations**
  - Contract Completion: Jun 2008
  - Completion Forecast: Jan 2008
  - Schedule Variance: -

- **SAS Superstructure**
  - Contract Completion: Mar 2012
  - Completion Forecast: Aug 2014
  - Schedule Variance: -

- **YBI Detour**
  - Contract Completion: Jul 2007
  - Completion Forecast: Dec 2010
  - Schedule Variance: -

- **YBI Transition Structures (YBITS)**
  - Contract Completion: Nov 2013
  - Completion Forecast: Nov 2014
  - Schedule Variance: 4

#### YBITS Landscaping

- **YBITS 1**
  - Contract Completion: Sep 2013
  - Completion Forecast: Dec 2013
  - Schedule Variance: 3

- **YBITS 2**
  - Contract Completion: Nov 2014
  - Completion Forecast: Mar 2015
  - Schedule Variance: 4

#### Oakland Touchdown

- **OtD 1**
  - Contract Completion: Jun 2010
  - Completion Forecast: Jun 2010
  - Schedule Variance: -

- **OtD 2**
  - Contract Completion: Nov 2014
  - Completion Forecast: Nov 2014
  - Schedule Variance: -

#### OTD Electrical Systems

- **Submerged Electric Cable**
  - Contract Completion: Jan 2008
  - Completion Forecast: Jan 2008
  - Schedule Variance: -

#### Existing Bridge Demolition

- **Stormwater Treatment Measures**
  - Contract Completion: Mar 2008
  - Completion Forecast: Mar 2008
  - Schedule Variance: -

### SFOBB East Span Bridge Opening and Other Milestones

- **Westbound Seismic Safety Open**
  - Contract Completion: Sep 2011
  - Completion Forecast: Dec 2013
  - Schedule Variance: -

- **Eastbound Seismic Safety Open**
  - Contract Completion: Sep 2012
  - Completion Forecast: Dec 2013
  - Schedule Variance: -

- **Oakland Detour Eastbound Open**
  - Contract Completion: May 2011
  - Completion Forecast: May 2011
  - Schedule Variance: -

- **Oakland Detour Westbound Open**
  - Contract Completion: Feb 2012
  - Completion Forecast: Feb 2012
  - Schedule Variance: -

- **OTD Westbound Access**
  - Contract Completion: Aug 2009
  - Completion Forecast: Aug 2009
  - Schedule Variance: -

- **YBI Detour Open**
  - Contract Completion: Sep 2009
  - Completion Forecast: Sep 2009
  - Schedule Variance: -

### Antioch Bridge Seismic Retrofit

- **Contract Completion**
  - Contract Completion: Aug 2012
  - Completion Forecast: May 2012
  - Schedule Variance: (3)

### Dumbarton Bridge Seismic Retrofit

- **Contract Completion**
  - Contract Completion: Sep 2013
  - Completion Forecast: Sep 2013
  - Schedule Variance: -
Regional Measure 1 Program Cost Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c = a + b</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>e</th>
<th>f = e - c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interstate 880/Route 92 Interchange Reconstruction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>163.2</td>
<td>130.7</td>
<td>163.2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>59.2</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Right-of-Way</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Reserve</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>(0.3)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total I-880/SR-92 Interchange Reconstruction</td>
<td>133.8</td>
<td>111.2</td>
<td>245.0</td>
<td>204.4</td>
<td>245.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Completed Program Projects</td>
<td>1,978.8</td>
<td>182.6</td>
<td>2,161.4</td>
<td>2,088.1</td>
<td>2,161.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Regional Measure 1 Toll Bridge Program</td>
<td>2,112.6</td>
<td>293.8</td>
<td>2,406.4</td>
<td>2,292.5</td>
<td>2,406.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cost Status**
- **Within approved schedule and budget**
- **Identified potential project risks that could significantly impact approved schedules and budgets if not mitigated**
- **Known project impacts with forthcoming changes to approved schedules and budgets**

1 Figures may not sum up to totals due to rounding effects.
## Regional Measure 1 Program Schedule Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>g</th>
<th>h</th>
<th>i = g + h</th>
<th>j</th>
<th>k = j - i</th>
<th>l</th>
<th>Remarks/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interstate 880/Route 92 Interchange Reconstruction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>See Page 50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Completion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interchange Reconstruction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>See Page 50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Gap between the Self-Anchored Suspension Bridge Box 12 East and West and the Skyway
TOLL BRIDGE SEISMIC RETROFIT PROGRAM
San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge Seismic Retrofit Strategy

When a 250-ton section of the upper deck of the East Span collapsed during the 7.1-magnitude Loma Prieta Earthquake in 1989, it was a wake-up call for the entire Bay Area. While the East Span quickly reopened within a month, a critical question lingered: How could the Bay Bridge—a vital regional lifeline structure—be strengthened to withstand the next major earthquake? Seismic experts from around the world determined that to make each separate element seismically safe on a bridge of this size, the work must be divided into numerous projects. Each project presents unique challenges. Yet there is one common challenge — the need to accommodate the more than 280,000 vehicles that cross the bridge each day.

West Approach Seismic Replacement Project
Project Status: Completed 2009

Seismic safety retrofit work on the West Approach in San Francisco, bounded on the west by 5th Street and on the east by the anchorage of the west span at Beale Street, involved completely removing and replacing this one-mile stretch of Interstate 80, as well as six on- and off-ramps within the confines of the West Approach’s original footprint. This project was completed on April 8, 2009.

West Span Seismic Retrofit Project
Project Status: Completed 2004

The West Span lies between Yerba Buena Island and San Francisco and is made up of two complete suspension spans connected at a center anchorage. Retrofit work included adding massive amounts of steel and concrete to strengthen the entire West Span, along with new seismic shock absorbers and bracing.
East Span Seismic Replacement Project

Project Status: In Construction

Rather than a seismic retrofit, the two-mile long East Span is being completely rebuilt. When completed, the new East Span will consist of several different sections, but will appear as a single streamlined span. The eastbound and westbound lanes of the East Span will no longer include upper and lower decks. The lanes will instead be parallel, providing motorists with expansive views of the bay. These views will also be enjoyed by bicyclists and pedestrians, thanks to a new bike path on the south side of the bridge that will extend all the way to Yerba Buena Island. The new span will be aligned north of the existing bridge to allow traffic to continue to flow on the existing bridge as crews build the new span.

The new span will feature the world’s longest Self-Anchored Suspension (SAS) bridge that will be connected to an elegant roadway supported by piers (Skyway), which will gradually slope down toward the Oakland shoreline (Oakland Touchdown). A new transition structure on Yerba Buena Island (YBI) will connect the SAS to the YBI Tunnel and will transition the East Span’s side-by-side traffic to the upper and lower decks of the tunnel and West Span.

When construction of the new East Span has been completed and vehicles have been safely rerouted to it, the original East Span will be demolished.
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San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge East Span Replacement
Project Summary

The new East Span bridge can be split into four major components—the Skyway and the Self-Anchored Suspension bridge in the middle and the Yerba Buena Island Transition Structures and Oakland Touchdown approaches at either end. Each component is being constructed by one to three separate contracts that have been sequenced together to reduce schedule risk.

Highlighted below are the major East Span contracts and their schedules. The letter designation before each contract corresponds to contract descriptions in the report.

Note: Dates shown above are project completion dates.
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San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge East Span Replacement Project
Yerba Buena Island Detour (YBID)

As with all of the Bay Bridge’s seismic retrofit projects, crews must build the Yerba Buena Island Transition Structures (YBITS) without disrupting traffic. To accomplish this task, YBID eastbound and westbound traffic was shifted off the existing roadway and onto a temporary detour on Labor Day weekend 2009. Drivers will use this detour, just south of the original roadway, until traffic is moved onto the new East Span.

YBID Contract
Contractor: C.C. Myers, Inc.
Approved Capital Outlay Budget: $492.8 M
Status: Completed October 2010

This contract was originally awarded in early 2004 to construct the detour structure for the planned 2006 opening of the new East Span. Due to the re-advertisement of the SAS Superstructure contract in 2005 because of a lack of funding at the time, the bridge opening was rescheduled to 2013. To better integrate the contract into the current East Span schedule and to improve seismic safety and mitigate future construction risks, the TBPOC has approved a number of changes to the contract, including adding the deck replacement work near the tunnel that was rolled into place over Labor Day weekend 2007, advancing future transition structure foundation work and making design enhancements to the temporary detour structure. These changes have increased the budget and forecast for the contract to cover the revised project scope and reduce project risks.

Status: Completed.
TOLL BRIDGE SEISMIC RETROFIT PROGRAM
San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge East Span Replacement Project
Yerba Buena Island Transition Structures (YBITS)

The new Yerba Buena Island Transition Structures (YBITS) will connect the new SAS bridge span to the existing Yerba Buena Island Tunnel, transitioning the new side-by-side roadway decks to the upper and lower decks of the tunnel. The new structures will be cast-in-place reinforced concrete structures that will look very similar to the already constructed Skyway structures. While some YBITS foundations and columns have been advanced by the YBID contract, the remaining work will be completed under three separate YBITS contracts.

**YBITS #1 Contract**

Contractor: MCM Construction, Inc.
Approved Capital Outlay Budget: $185.5 M
Status: 24% Complete as of June 2011

The YBITS #1 contract will construct the mainline roadway structure from the SAS bridge to the YBI tunnel. On February 4, 2010, Caltrans awarded the YBITS #1 Contract to MCM Construction, Inc.

Status: Construction of the eastbound and westbound footings and columns were completed in June 2011. Work continues on frames 1 and 2 westbound formwork and rebar installation. The first concrete placement is scheduled for July 22, 2011.
YBITS #2 Contract

Contractor: TBD
Approved Capital Outlay Budget: $59.0 M
Status: In Design

The YBITS #2 contract will demolish the detour viaduct after all traffic is shifted to the new bridge and will construct a new eastbound on-ramp to the bridge in its place. The new ramp will also provide the final link for bicycle/pedestrian access off the SAS bridge onto Yerba Buena Island. To expedite opening of a new eastbound on-ramp and the pedestrian/bicycle pathway from Yerba Buena Island, the TBPOC has decided to split the bridge dismantling project into at least two contracts. The dismantling of the superstructure of the main cantilever section of the existing bridge will be incorporated into the YBITS #2 contract, while the remaining portions of the existing bridge will be removed by separate contract or contracts yet to be determined.

YBITS Landscaping Contract

Contractor: TBD
Approved Capital Outlay Budget $3.3M
Status: In Design

Upon completion of the YBITS work, a follow-on landscaping contract will be executed to replant and landscape the area.

Yerba Buena Island Transition Structures Advanced Work

Due to the re-advertisement of the SAS superstructure contract in 2005, it became necessary to temporarily suspend the detour contract and make design changes to the viaduct. To make more effective use of the extended contract duration and to reduce overall project schedule and construction risks, the TBPOC approved the advancement of foundation and column work from the YBITS contract.

Status: The YBID contractor completed the YBITS advanced substructure work in October 2010.
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San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge
East Span Replacement Project
Self-Anchored Suspension (SAS) Bridge

If one single element bestows world class status on the new Bay Bridge East Span, it is the Self-Anchored Suspension (SAS) bridge. This engineering marvel will be the world’s largest SAS span at 2,047 feet in length, as well as the first bridge of its kind built with a single tower.

The SAS was separated into three separate contracts—construction of the land-based foundations and columns at pier W2; construction of the marine-based foundations and columns at piers T1 and E2; and construction of the SAS steel superstructure, including the tower, roadway, and cabling. Construction of the foundations at pier W2 and at piers T1 and E2 was completed in 2004 and 2007, respectively.

SAS Land Foundation Contract
Contractor: West Bay Builders, Inc.
Approved Capital Outlay Budget: $26.4 M
Status: Completed October 2004

The twin W2 columns on Yerba Buena Island provide essential support for the western end of the SAS bridge, where the single main cable for the suspension span will extend down from the tower and wrap around and under the western end of the roadway deck. Each of these huge columns required massive amounts of concrete and steel and are anchored 80 feet into the island’s solid bedrock.

C SAS Marine Foundations Contract
Contractor: Kiewit/FCI/Manson, Joint Venture
Approved Capital Outlay Budget: $280.9 M
Status: Completed January 2008

Construction of the piers at E2 and T1 (see rendering on facing page) required significant on-water resources to drive the foundation support piles down, not only to bedrock, but also through the bay water and mud.

The T1 foundation piles extend 196 feet below the waterline and are anchored into bedrock with heavily reinforced concrete rock sockets that are drilled into the rock. Driven nearly 340 feet deep, the steel and concrete E2 foundation piles were driven 100 feet deeper than the deepest timber piles of the existing east span in order to get through the bay mud and reach solid bedrock.
SAS Superstructure Contract
Contractor: American Bridge/Fluor Enterprises, Joint Venture
Approved Capital Outlay Budget: $2.05 B
Status: 73% Complete as of June 2011

The SAS bridge is not just another suspension bridge. Rising 525 feet above mean sea level and embedded in rock, the single-tower SAS span is designed to withstand a massive earthquake. Traditional main cable suspension bridges have twin cables with smaller suspender cables connected to them. While there will appear to be two main cables on the SAS, there will actually only be a single continuous cable. This single cable will be anchored within the eastern end of the roadway, carried over the tower and then wrapped around the two side-by-side decks at the western end.

The single-steel tower is made up of four separate legs connected by shear link beams which function much like a fuse in an electrical circuit. These beams will absorb most of the impact from an earthquake, preventing damage to the tower legs.

The next several pages highlight the construction sequence of the SAS and are followed by detailed updates on specific construction activities.
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Self-Anchored Suspension (SAS) Construction Sequence

**STEP 1 - CONSTRUCT TEMPORARY SUPPORT STRUCTURES**

Temporary support structures will need to be erected from the Skyway to Yerba Buena Island to support the new SAS bridge during construction.

*Status:* Foundations and temporary support structures were completed in mid-September 2010.

**STEP 2 - INSTALL ROADWAYS**

The roadway boxes are being lifted into place by using the shear-leg crane barge. The boxes are being bolted and welded together atop the temporary support trusses to form two continuous parallel steel roadway boxes.

*Status:* Twenty-four of 28 roadway boxes have been erected. Seventeen crossbeams have been installed between the roadway boxes. Roadway boxes 13 and 14 east and west are in fabrication and are expected to ship in early August 2011. The bike path deck service platforms and barrier installation continues on the eastbound roadway deck.

**STEP 3 - INSTALL TOWER**

Each of the four legs of the tower will be erected in four separate lifts. The four tower lifts, the grillage and the tower head will be installed using a temporary erection tower and lifting jacks.

*Status:* The tower and saddle have been installed and the tower head will be erected after the cables have been installed in early 2012.
STEP 4 - MAIN CABLE AND SUSPENDER INSTALLATION
The main cable will be pulled from the east end of the SAS bridge, over the tower, and wrapped around pier W2 before returning back over the tower to the east end of the SAS bridge deck. Suspenders will be added to lift the roadway decks off the temporary support structure.

Status: Cable installation is pending the erection of the cable temporary works and completion of roadway spans. All cables have been fabricated, shipped and stored in the warehouse at Pier 7 in Oakland. Temporary catwalks are being installed from the tower to the deck to provide safe access for workers who will be installing the main cable.

STEP 5 - WESTBOUND AND EASTBOUND SEISMIC SAFETY OPENING
The new bridge will now open simultaneously in both the westbound and eastbound directions.

Status: The westbound and eastbound opening is forecast for December 2013.
Self-Anchored Suspension (SAS) Superstructure Fabrication Activities

Roadway and Tower Segments

Like giant three-dimensional jigsaw puzzles, the roadway and tower lifts of the SAS bridge are hollow steel shells that are internally strengthened and stiffened by a highly engineered network of welded steel ribs and diaphragms. The use of steel in this manner allows for a strong and yet relatively light and flexible structure to withstand the massive loads placed on the bridge during seismic events.

All components undergo a rigorous quality review by ZPMC, ABF, and Caltrans to ensure that only bridge components that have been built according to contract specifications will be shipped.

Roadway Box Fabrication Status: As shown in the diagram to the right, roadway boxes 1 through 12 east and west have been completed. Fabrication of roadway boxes 13 and 14 east and west is ongoing. The roadway boxes are forecast to be shipped in August 2011.

Tower Fabrication Status: The tower was completed in June 2011. The tower head was fabricated and shipped in May 2011.
Fabrication Progress Diagram
Through June 30, 2011

Loading Roadway Box 14 West onto the Ship - the Last Steel Voyage
TOLL BRIDGE SEISMIC RETROFIT PROGRAM
Self-Anchored Suspension (SAS) Superstructure Fabrication Activities (cont.)

Cables and Suspenders
One continuous main cable will be used to support the roadway deck of the SAS bridge. The main cable will be anchored within the westbound roadway box at the east end of the SAS near pier E2, then extend west over the northeast saddle towards the tower saddle at T1. It will then loop around pier W2 westbound deviation saddle, extend through the jacking beam saddle and extend around the eastbound deviation saddle at W2 over the tower saddle at T1 again to the south east saddle and finally anchor within the eastbound roadway box near pier E2. The main cable is made up of 137 bundles of wire strands and a number of smaller suspender ropes will connect the roadway decks to the main cable.

Status: All main cables strands have been fabricated and delivered to the job site and stored at Pier 7 in Oakland. The cable bands are in fabrication and forecast to be completed in August 2011. The suspender ropes are in fabrication and forecast to be completed in September 2011.

Saddles, Bearings, Hinges, and Other Bridge Components
The mounts on which the main cable and suspender ropes will sit are solid steel castings. Castings for the main cable saddles were made by Japan Steel Works, while the cable bands and brackets are being made by Goodwin Steel in the United Kingdom.

The bridge bearings and hinges that support, connect, and transfer loads from the Self-Anchored Suspension (SAS) Span to the adjoining sections of the new east span are being fabricated in a number of locations. Work on the bearings is being performed in Pennsylvania, USA and Hochang, South Korea, while hinge pipe beams are being fabricated in Oregon, USA.

Status: The cable and jacking saddles and pipe beams have been fabricated and installed. Hinge A seismic expansion joint is in fabrication and is currently scheduled for completion in December 2011. Some of the SAS traveler rails and bike path decks have been fabricated and installed and forecast for completion in September 2011. The anchor rods are in fabrication and are forecast for completion in August 2011.
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Self-Anchored Suspension (SAS) Superstructure Field Activities

Shear-Leg Crane Barge

The massive shear-leg barge crane that is helping to build the SAS superstructure arrived in the San Francisco Bay on March 12, 2009 after a trans-Pacific voyage.

The crane and barge are separate units operating as a single entity named the “Left Coast Lifter.”

The 400-by-100-foot barge is a U.S.-flagged vessel that was custom built in Portland, Oregon by U.S. Barge, LLC and outfitted with the crane by Shanghai Zhenhua Heavy Industry Co. Ltd. (ZPMC) at a facility near Shanghai, China. The crane’s boom weighs 992 tons and is 328 feet long. The crane can lift up to 1,873 tons, including the deck and tower boxes for the SAS.

Status: The shear-leg crane barge arrived at the job site March 2009. The crane has off-loaded and placed all temporary support structures and SAS roadway boxes and crossbeams.

Temporary Support Structures

To erect the roadway decks and tower of the bridge, temporary support structures were first put in place. Almost a bridge in itself, the temporary support structures stretch from the end of the completed Skyway back to Yerba Buena Island. For the tower, a strand jack system is being built into the tower’s temporary frame to elevate the upper sections of the tower into place. These temporary supports are being fabricated in the Bay Area, as well as in Oregon and in China at ZPMC.

Status: The temporary support structures were completed in mid-September 2010.

Cap Beams

Construction of the massive steel-reinforced concrete cap beams that link the columns at piers W2 and E2 are the responsibility of the SAS superstructure contractor and represents the only concrete portions of work on that contract. The east and west ends of the SAS roadway will rest on the cap beams and the main cable will wrap around pier W2, while anchoring into the east end of the SAS deck sections near E2.

Status: Completed in March 2009
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Self-Anchored Suspension (SAS) Superstructure Roadway and Tower Box Installation Activities

Upon arrival in Oakland, the steel roadway and tower sections are off-loaded directly from the transport ship onto barges to await installation atop the temporary support structures. Steel roadway boxes will be installed from west to east. Due to the shallow waters near Yerba Buena Island, the eastbound lanes on the south side of the new bridge will be installed first, then to be followed by the westbound lanes. In total, there are 28 roadway boxes (14 in each direction) that range from 560 to 1660 tons and from 80 to 230 feet long.

The tower comprises four legs, each made up of four tower lifts that make up the majority of the height of the tower, the tower grillage, and finally the tower head.

Status: Twenty-four of 28 roadway boxes have been erected to form a continuous roadway. Painting, welding and bolting continues on all roadway boxes. All four tower legs along with the tower grillage and the tower saddle have been installed as of mid-May 2011. The tower head and roadway boxes 12 east and west arrived at Pier 7 in Oakland on June 3, 2011. Both boxes were lifted into place by the end of June 2011.
The Tower Saddle Being Installed atop the Tower of the Self-Anchored Suspension Bridge
Aerial View of the Self-Anchored Suspension Bridge with the Newly Installed Back Span Catwalk
Self-Anchored Suspension Bridge Tower Grillage Being Prepared for Assembly with Tower Saddle
The Skyway, which comprises much of the new East Span, will drastically change the appearance of the Bay Bridge. Replacing the gray steel that currently cages drivers, a graceful, elevated roadway supported by piers will provide sweeping views of the bay.

**Skyway Contract**

*Contractor: Kiewit/FCI/Manson, Joint Venture*

*Approved Capital Outlay Budget: $1.25 B*

*Status: Completed March 2008*

Extending for more than a mile across Oakland mudflats, the Skyway is the longest section of the East Span. It sits between the new Self-Anchored Suspension (SAS) span and the Oakland Touchdown. In addition to incorporating the latest seismic-safety technology, the side-by-side roadway decks of the Skyway feature shoulders and lane widths built to modern standards.

The Skyway’s decks are composed of 452 pre-cast concrete segments (standing three stories high), containing approximately 200 million pounds of structural steel, 120 million pounds of reinforcing steel, 200 thousand linear feet of piling and about 450 thousand cubic yards of concrete. These are the largest segments of their kind ever cast and were lifted into place by custom-made winches.

The Skyway marine foundation consists of 160 hollow steel pipe piles measuring eight feet in diameter and dispersed among 14 sets of piers. The 365-ton piles were driven more than 300 feet into the deep bay mud. The new East Span piles were battered or driven in at an angle, rather than vertically, to obtain maximum strength and resistance.

Designed specifically to move during a major earthquake, the Skyway features several state-of-the-art seismic safety innovations, including 60-foot-long hinge pipe beams. These beams will allow deck segments on the Skyway to move, enabling the deck to withstand greater motion and to absorb more earthquake energy.
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San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge East Span Replacement Project
Oakland Touchdown

When completed, the Oakland Touchdown (OTD) structures will connect Interstate 80 in Oakland to the new side-by-side decks of the new East Span. For westbound drivers, the OTD will be their introduction to the graceful new East Span. For eastbound drivers from San Francisco, this section of the bridge will carry them from the Skyway to the East Bay, offering unobstructed views of the Oakland hills.

The Oakland Touchdown (OTD) approach structures to the Skyway will be constructed in three phases. The first phase, constructed on the OTD#1 contract, built the new westbound approach structure. Due to physical constraints with the existing bridge, OTD#1 was only able to construct a portion of the eastbound approach. To facilitate opening the bridge in both directions at the same time, the current phase of work, performed by the Oakland Detour contractor, is widening the upper deck of the Oakland end of the existing bridge to allow for a traffic shift to the north that removes the physical constraint to completing the eastbound structure. The third phase, to be constructed by a future OTD#2 contract, will complete the eastbound lanes and provide the traffic switch to the new structure in both directions. This will allow the bridge to open simultaneously in both directions.

Oakland Touchdown #1 Contract
Contractor: MCM Construction, Inc.
Approved Capital Outlay Budget: $212.0 M
Status: Completed June 2010

The OTD #1 contract constructed the entire 1,000-foot-long westbound approach from the toll plaza to the Skyway. When open to traffic, the westbound approach structure will provide direct access to the westbound Skyway. In the eastbound direction, the contract will construct a portion of the eastbound structure and all of the eastbound foundations that are not in conflict with the existing bridge.

Status: MCM Construction, Inc. completed OTD #1 westbound and eastbound phase 1 on June 8, 2010.

Oakland Detour
Contractor: MCM Construction, Inc.
Approved Capital Outlay Budget: $51.0 M
Status: In Construction

To ensure a simultaneous eastbound and westbound opening of the bridge by December 2013, the TBPOC has approved an acceleration plan that will construct a detour at the Oakland end of the bridge to allow for expedited construction of the OTD #2 contract. The detour realigns the existing bridge approach to the south to allow for construction of the remaining portion of OTD that was in conflict with the existing bridge.

Status: The eastbound detour opened over the 2011 Memorial Day weekend. The westbound detour construction is in progress and is forecast to be completed in early 2012 pending weather or construction delays. The Burma Road extension access and the eastbound detour were completed in May 2011.

Oakland Touchdown #2 Contract
Contractor: TBD
Approved Capital Outlay Budget: $62.0 M
Status: In Design

The OTD #2 contract will complete the eastbound approach structure from the end of the Skyway to Oakland. This work is critical to the eastbound opening of the new bridge by December 2013.

Status: The TBPOC has approved an acceleration plan that will construct a detour at the Oakland end of the bridge to allow for expedited construction of the OTD #2 contract. OTD #2 is currently in design and the contract for construction will be advertised in October 2011 and awarded in April 2012.
A number of contracts needed to relocate utilities, clear areas of archeological artifacts, and prepare areas for future work have already been completed. The last major contract will be the eventual demolition and removal of the existing bridge, which by that time will have served the Bay Area for nearly 80 years. Following is a status of some the other East Span contracts.

**East Span Interim Seismic Retrofit**

Contractors: 1) California Engineering  
2) Balfour Beatty  
Approved Capital Outlay Budget: $30.8 M  
Status: Completed October 2000

After the 1989 Loma Prieta Earthquake, and before the final retrofit strategy was determined for the East Span, Caltrans completed an interim retrofit of the existing bridge to prevent a catastrophic collapse of the bridge should a similar earthquake occur before the East Span was completely replaced. The interim retrofit was performed under two separate contracts that lengthened pier seats, added some structural members, and strengthened areas of the bridge so they would be more resilient during an earthquake.

**Stormwater Treatment Measures**

Contractor: Diablo Construction, Inc.  
Approved Capital Outlay Budget: $18.3 M  
Status: Completed December 2008

The Stormwater Treatment Measures contract implemented a number of best practices for the management and treatment of stormwater runoff. Focused on the areas around and approaching the toll plaza, the contract added new drainage and built new bio-retention swales and other related constructs.
Yerba Buena Island Substation
Contractor: West Bay Builders
Approved Capital Outlay Budget: $11.6 M
Status: Completed May 2005

This contract relocated an electrical substation just east of the Yerba Buena Island Tunnel in preparation for the new East Span.

Pile Installation Demonstration
Contractor: Manson and Dutra, Joint Venture
Approved Capital Outlay Budget: $9.3 M
Status: Completed December 2000

While large-diameter battered piles are common in offshore drilling, the new East Span is one of the first bridges to use them in its foundations. To minimize project risks and build industry knowledge, a pile installation demonstration project was initiated to prove the efficacy of the proposed technology and methodology. The demonstration was highly successful and helped result in zero contract change orders or claims for pile driving on the project.

Existing Bridge Demolition
Contractor: TBD
Approved Capital Outlay Budget: $239.1 M
Status: In Design

Design work on the demolition of the existing bridge has started. The current plan is to complete the environmental clearance by December 2011 and obtain all permits by June 2012. To expedite opening of a new eastbound on-ramp and the pedestrian/bicycle pathway from Yerba Buena Island, the TBPOC has decided to split the bridge dismantling project into at least two contracts. The dismantling of the superstructure of the main cantilever section of the existing bridge will be incorporated into the YBITS #2 contract, while the remaining portions of the existing bridge will be removed by separate contract or contracts yet to be determined.

Electrical Cable Relocation
Contractor: Manson Construction
Approved Capital Outlay Budget: $9.6 M
Status: Completed January 2008

A submerged cable from Oakland that is close to where the new bridge will touch down supplies electrical power to Treasure Island. To avoid any possible damage to the cable during construction, two new replacement cables were run from Oakland to Treasure Island. The extra cable was funded by the Treasure Island Development Authority.
TOLL BRIDGE SEISMIC RETROFIT PROGRAM
Antioch Bridge Seismic Retrofit Project
Contractor: California Engineering Contractors, Inc.
Approved Capital Outlay Budget: $70.0 M
Status: 61% Complete as of June 2011

Serving the Delta region of the Bay Area, the Antioch Bridge takes State Route 160 traffic over the San Joaquin River, linking eastern Contra Costa County with Sacramento County. The current 1.8-mile-long steel plate girder bridge was opened in 1978 with one lane in each direction. The major retrofit measure for the bridge includes installing seismic isolation bearings at each of the 41 piers, strengthening piers 12 through 31 with steel cross-bracing between column bents, and installing steel casings at all columns located at the Sherman Island approach slab bridge.

Status: Fabrication and testing of all isolation bearings has been completed. Of the 82 isolation bearings, 36 have been installed at 18 of the 41 piers.

Fabrication of the cross bracing to be installed between the two column bents is 70 percent completed, (a total of 20 piers are to be retrofitted with cross bracing). Eight pier cross frame retrofits have been installed, or 40 percent complete. Field painting of the cross bracing is the last major activity in completing the pier retrofit. Three of the 20 cross frames, or 15 percent of the total have been painted.

Fabrication of the column casing to be installed at the Sherman Island has been completed and delivered to the site. Column casing installation is scheduled to start late in the third quarter or beginning of the fourth quarter of 2011.
Installation of Access Scaffolding at Pier 14
**Dumbarton Bridge Seismic Retrofit Project**

*Contractor: Shimmick Construction Company, Inc.*

**Approved Capital Outlay Budget:** $92.7 M  
**Status:** 22% Complete as of June 2011

The current Dumbarton Bridge was opened to traffic in 1982 linking the cities of Newark in Alameda County and East Palo Alto in San Mateo County. The 1.6-mile long bridge has six lanes (three in each direction) and an eight-foot bicycle/pedestrian pathway. The bridge is a combination of three bridge types; reinforced concrete slab approaches supported on multiple pile extension columns, precast-prestressed concrete delta girders and steel box girders supported on reinforced concrete piers. The current retrofit strategy for the bridge includes superstructure and deck modifications and installation of isolation bearings.

**Status:** The main bridge structure between piers 16 - 31 will be raised approximately five inches so the isolation bearings can be installed to separate the superstructure from the substructure during seismic events. In preparation, the bridge piers are being widened with reinforced concrete to accommodate the new bearings. Currently at piers 17 through 22, new reinforcing steel is being bonded and added to the piers.

Along the reinforced concrete slab approaches, the bent caps are being extended and tied to new 48” diameter steel piles that have been installed to strengthen the bridge. Bent cap extensions along the west trestle approach are completed and all east approach trestle bent columns have been constructed. The remaining reinforced concrete bent cap extensions at the east approach trestle are being formed and will be cast in concrete in July 2011.
Forms in Place for Pump Station Walls

Core Drilling Operation Will Allow for Reinforcing Steel to Anchor Into the Existing Bent Cap
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Other Completed Projects

In the 1990s, the State Legislature identified seven of the nine state-owned toll bridges for seismic retrofit. In addition to the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, these included the Benicia-Martinez, Carquinez, Richmond-San Rafael and San Mateo-Hayward bridges in the Bay Area, and the Vincent Thomas and Coronado bridges in Southern California. Other than the East Span of the Bay Bridge, the retrofits of all of the bridges have been completed as planned.

San Mateo-Hayward Bridge Seismic Retrofit Project
Project Status: Completed 2000

The San Mateo-Hayward Bridge seismic retrofit project focused on strengthening the high-rise portion of the span. The foundations of the bridge were significantly upgraded with additional piles.

1958 Carquinez Bridge Seismic Retrofit Project
Project Status: Completed 2002

The eastbound 1958 Carquinez Bridge was retrofitted in 2002 with additional reinforcement of the cantilever thru-truss structure.

1962 Benicia-Martinez Bridge Seismic Retrofit Project
Project Status: Completed 2003

The southbound 1962 Benicia-Martinez Bridge was retrofitted to “Lifeline” status with the strengthening of the foundations and columns and the addition of seismic bearings that allow the bridge to move during a major seismic event. The Lifeline status means the bridge is designed to sustain minor to moderate damage after a seismic event and to reopen quickly to emergency response traffic.
Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Seismic Retrofit Project
Project Status: Completed 2005

The Richmond-San Rafael Bridge was retrofitted to a "No Collapse" classification to avoid catastrophic failure during a major seismic event. The foundations, columns, and truss of the bridge were strengthened, and the entire low-rise approach viaduct from Marin County was replaced.

Los Angeles-Vincent Thomas Bridge Seismic Retrofit Project
Project Status: Completed 2000

The Vincent Thomas Bridge is a 1,500-foot long suspension bridge crossing the Los Angeles Harbor in Los Angeles that links San Pedro with Terminal Island. The bridge was one of two state-owned toll bridges in Southern California (the other being the San Diego-Coronado Bridge). Opened in 1963, the bridge was seismically retrofitted as part of the TBSRP in 2000.

San Diego-Coronado Bridge Seismic Retrofit Project
Project Status: Completed 2002

The San Diego-Coronado Bridge crosses over San Diego Bay and links the cities of San Diego and Coronado. Opened in 1969, the 2.1-mile long bridge was seismically retrofitted as part of the TBSRP in 2002.
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Risk Management Program Update

POTENTIAL DRAW ON PROGRAM RESERVE (PROGRAM CONTINGENCY)

Assembly Bill (AB) 144 provides that Caltrans “regularly reassess its reserves for potential claims and unknown risks, incorporating information related to risks identified and quantified through its risk assessment processes.”

AB 144 set a $900 million Program Reserve (also referred to as the program contingency). On October 11, 2009, Governor Schwarzenegger approved Assembly Bill No. 1175 that added the Dumbarton and Antioch Bridges to the Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program and this resulted in changes to program contingency. The program contingency is currently $308 million according to the TBPOC approved budget.

The approved TBSRP Risk Management Plan provides for the determination of the estimated potential draw on program contingency each quarter based on the total of all risks and the contingencies remaining from the contracts. Each contract in design has an assigned contingency allowance. Each contract in construction has a remaining contingency, which is the difference between its budget and the sum of bid items, state-furnished materials, contract change orders and remaining supplemental work. Capital outlay support has no identified contingency allowance. The total of the contingencies is the amount that is available to cover the risks of all contracts, program-level risks (the risks not assigned to a particular contract), and capital outlay support risks. The amount by which the sum of all risks may exceed the total of all contingencies would represent a potential draw on the program contingency (i.e., program reserve).

The approved TBSRP Risk Management Plan provides for the determination of the estimated potential draw on program contingency each quarter, and compares it to the current balance in the program contingency. The second quarter of 2011 potential draw curve is shown in Figure 1.

As of the end of the second quarter of 2011, the 50 percent probable draw on program contingency is $200 million. The potential draw ranges from about $60 million to $300 million.

The current program contingency balance is sufficient to cover the cost of currently identified risks. In accordance with the approved TBSRP Risk Management Plan, risk mitigation actions are continuously developed and implemented to reduce the potential draw on the program contingency.

RISK MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENTS

There was an approximate $45 million decrease in the 50% probable remaining Program Contingency Balance (i.e., the approved TBPOC Program Contingency Balance less the 50% Probable Draw) this quarter. This change is predominantly attributed to the approximate $40 million decrease in the dismantling project contingency this quarter – this resulted from an increase in the dismantling project’s cost estimate associated with proposed schedule compression, as well as a better understanding of the estimated costs associated with marine access. The addition of the dismantling project’s cost risk numbers this quarter did not significantly change the total dismantling project’s forecast because of identified capital outlay support opportunities also quantified this quarter.

Outside of the dismantling project, the decrease in program cost risks this quarter were largely offset by the increase in costs carried in the program’s Contract Change Order (CCO) logs. Cost risks on the SAS contract trended downward this quarter, however, the forecast for the SAS did not change significantly as a result of the approximate increase of $15 million in scope changes/enhancements approved by the TBPOC this
quarter. Additional scope changes/enhancements (e.g., schedule compression of the dismantling project) are being considered by the TBPOC and, if approved, will be reflected in the future quarters’ potential draw to program contingency curve.

The SAS contractor’s updated schedule meets the TBPOC’s milestones for opening the bridge in 2013. However, the contractor’s schedule does not incorporate a milestone for turning over the Hinge K work area to the YBITS #1 contractor for completion of the Hinge K closures. This potentially places the YBITS #1 contract on the critical path to bridge opening, possibly extending it by several months. The risk management team mitigated this schedule risk by re-sequencing some SAS contract activities to after bridge opening. Discussions are ongoing to resolve this coordination issue between the SAS and YBITS #1 contractors, and the goal is to merge the construction activities of both contracts into one schedule and use it to plan the work to provide bridge opening as soon as possible.

Right-of-way acquisition risks, the largest risks early in the Oakland Detour project, were successfully resolved by the project team, allowing the eastbound detour to open on time. Construction of the westbound detour widening structure foundations began immediately after traffic was switched to the eastbound detour. The design of the superstructure is advancing and will be completed by the end of this year.

RISK MANAGEMENT LOOK AHEAD

The corridor schedule is aggressive and there are risks to the future activities on the critical paths through SAS orthotropic box girder delivery and erection, cable installation, load transfer, and completion of mechanical, electrical, and plumbing systems required for the bridge opening. Caltrans and the SAS and YBITS#1 contractors are implementing a plan to enhance mutual schedule management in order to proactively identify impending risks so that action can be taken swiftly to prevent or mitigate potential delays. The risk management team has

---

**Figure 1 – Potential Draw on Program Contingency**

*Figure 1 Notes:
1. The Program Contingency is currently $308 million per the TBPOC Approved Budget.
2. Program Contingency may be used for other beneficial purposes than to cover risks.
3. Potential risks associated with potential out-of-scope corridor improvements are excluded. Proposed architectural enhancements and project improvements are currently under development; such proposals are excluded unless approved by the TBPOC.
4. The potential draw chart should not be construed as a forecast of the future balance of Program Contingency funds.*
assessed the risks and identified Caltrans activities that must align with the SAS and YBITS#1 contractors’ incentivized milestone.

The San Francisco Ramps project is scheduled to start while the YBITS#1 contractor is working on Yerba Buena Island, giving rise to coordination issues such as slope stability, traffic maintenance, and conflicts in schedule and staging. Discussions are ongoing to resolve these potential issues.

Aggressive planning for the future East Span dismantling work is underway. Project scope is being refined and an assessment to select the most prudent and efficient procurement strategy for the dismantling work is being performed. Development of project plans, specifications, schedule, and cost is ongoing. In concert with this effort, the risk management team will be updating the risk registers for the dismantling work.

The comprehensive quantitative cost and schedule risk assessment will be refined next quarter and incorporated in the program’s quantitative cost and schedule results.
Overview of Yerba Buena Island Transition Structure Westbound and the Self-Anchored Suspension Bridge Span
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Program Funding Status

AB 144 established a funding level of $8.685 billion for the TBSRP. The bill specifies program funding sources as shown in Table 1—Program Budget.

Table 1—Program Budget
as of June 30, 2011 ($ Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financing</th>
<th>Budgeted</th>
<th>Contribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seismic Surcharge Revenue AB 1171</td>
<td>2,282.0</td>
<td>2,282.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seismic Surcharge Revenue AB 144</td>
<td>2,150.0</td>
<td>2,150.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seismic Surcharge Revenue AB 1175(5)</td>
<td>750.0</td>
<td>750.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BATA Consolidation</td>
<td>820.0</td>
<td>820.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal - Financing</strong></td>
<td><strong>6,002.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>6,002.0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contributions</th>
<th>Budgeted</th>
<th>Contribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposition 192</td>
<td>790.0</td>
<td>790.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego Coronado Toll Bridge Revenue Fund</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vincent Thomas Bridge</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Highway Account(1)(2)</td>
<td>745.0</td>
<td>745.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Transportation Account(1)(3)</td>
<td>130.0</td>
<td>130.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITIP/SHOPP/Federal Contingency</td>
<td>448.0</td>
<td>200.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Highway Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation (HBRR)</td>
<td>642.0</td>
<td>642.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHA - East Span Demolition</td>
<td>300.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHA - “Efficiency Savings”(4)</td>
<td>130.0</td>
<td>63.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redirect Spillover</td>
<td>125.0</td>
<td>125.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Vehicle Account</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>75.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal - Contribution</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,433.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,808.9</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Funding** | **9,435.0** | **8,810.9** |

**Encumbered to Date** | **7,502.7** |

**Remaining Unallocated** | **1,308.2** |

**Expenditures :**

| Capital Outlay | 5,655.1 |
| State Operations | 1,490.1 |
| Antioch and Dumbarton Expenditures by BATA | 12.2 |
| **Total Expenditures** | **7,157.5** |

**Encumbrances : (6)**

| Capital Outlay | 327.7 |
| State Operations | 17.6 |
| **Total Encumbrances** | **345.3** |

**Total Expenditures and Encumbrances** | **7,502.7**

---

(1) The California Transportation Commission adopted a new schedule and changed the PTA/SHA split on December 15, 2005.
(2) To date $645 million has been transferred from the SHA to the TBSRP, including the full $290 million transfer scheduled by the CTC to occur in 2005-06. An additional $100 million has been expended directly from the account.
(3) To date $130 million has been transferred from the PTA to the TBSRP, including the full amount of all transfers scheduled by the CTC.
(4) To date $10 million has been transferred from the SHA to the TBSRP, representing the commitment of “Efficiency Savings” identified under AB 144. Approximately $120 million remains to be distributed as scheduled by the CTC.
(5) As of January 1, 2010, seismic retrofitting of Antioch and Dumbarton Bridges became part of the Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program with the passage of AB 1175.
Summary of the Toll Bridge Oversight Committee (TBPOC) Expenses

Pursuant to Streets and Highways Code Section 30952.1 (d), expenses incurred by Caltrans, BATA, and the California Transportation Commission (CTC) for costs directly related to the duties associated with the TBPOC are to be reimbursed by toll revenues. Table 3 - Toll Bridge Program Oversight Committee Estimated Expenses: July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2011 shows expenses through June 30, 2011 for TBPOC functioning, support, and monthly and quarterly reporting.

Table 2—CTC Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program Contributions Adopted December 2005
Schedule of Contributions to the Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program ($ Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AB 1171</td>
<td>SHA</td>
<td>290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PTA</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Highway Bridge Replacement and</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rehabilitation (HBRR)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 144</td>
<td>SHA*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Motor Vehicle Account (MVA)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spillover</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SHA**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>153 150 165 300 300 1830</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Caltrans Efficiency Savings
** SFOBB East Span Demolition Cost

Table 3—Toll Bridge Program Oversight Committee
Estimated Expenses: July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2011 ($ Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency/Program Activity</th>
<th>Expenses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BATA</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caltrans</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTC</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Program</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Overall environmental compliance for the San Francisco Oakland-Bay Bridge (SFOBB) East Span project has been a success. There are no comments from regulatory agencies. The tasks for the current quarter are focused on mitigation monitoring. Key successes in this quarter are as follows:

- Bird monitoring was conducted weekly in the active construction area. Monitors did not observe any indication that birds were disturbed due to the East Span construction activities.

- Weekly monitoring of Canada geese along Route 80 roadway adjacent to the Emeryville Crescent for the year began on March 4, 2011 and will continue through August 2011.

- Peregrine falcon monitoring for the 2010/2011 nesting season that began on December 3, 2010 continued through June 20, 2011. The same pair of adult peregrine falcons that successfully nested at Pier E2 of the existing Bay Bridge in the 2008/2009 and 2009/2010 nesting seasons returned and established a nest at the same site.

- On April 8, four eggs were observed in the nest and on April 20, three nestlings were observed for the first time. The three nestlings were banded on May 5 and it was determined that there were two males and one female. On May 31, the two male nestlings were not observed in the nest; it was assumed that the two males fledged over Memorial Day weekend. On June 1, one of the male fledglings was observed by the bird monitor on a crossbeam above the upper deck of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge (SFOBB). The female nesting fledged around June 1 and was observed approximately four feet below the nest site on a platform. After June 1, the nestlings were not observed again by the bird monitor; however, crew on the bridge reported several anecdotal sightings of the juveniles. Behavior of the adult peregrines, including the delivery of prey to locations not visible to the monitor, suggested that juveniles were present at the site.

- SFOBB environmental compliance and storm water pollution prevention plan (SWPPP) inspections were conducted weekly at all active project sites. The project team continues to work closely with contractors to ensure compliance with environmental permits and regulations and improve SWPPP and best management practices.

- On May 24, 2011, Caltrans submitted an amendment request letter to the San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC). The letter outlined Amendment No. 30 to San Francisco BCDC Permit No. 8-01. Amendment No. 30 specified modifications to the Oakland Touchdown design to include a chevron-shaped landing area and provided details about other public access improvements.

- On June 28, 2011, a ceremony was held to commemorate the addition of Skaggs Island to the San Pablo Bay National Wildlife Refuge, increasing the refuge’s current 13,190 acres of protected lands by approximately 25 percent. To facilitate this transfer, Caltrans provided approximately $9 million to fund building demolition and environmental remediation at the Skaggs Island site.

- Caltrans is working with regulatory agencies and exploring options to meet requirements for shorebird roosting habitat mitigation.

- Caltrans is working on the environmental phase for the dismantling of the existing Bay Bridge.

- The Office of Environmental Analysis (OEA) is working with the various functional units to prepare a comprehensive review of the 2001 Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)/Statutory Exemption and Final Section 4(f) Evaluation. Preliminary
assessment indicates re-validation of the EIS is appropriate. The functional units are preparing technical studies and the details of dismantling are being refined to aid in this evaluation.

- On May 27, 2011 Caltrans sent the United States Army Corp of Engineers a letter requesting the modification of Permit No. 23013S, for the SFOBB East Span Project dated December 4, 2001. The modification was requested to extend the time limit allowed by the permit for work on the SFOBB project to January 1, 2019.

- On June 23, 2011 an Interagency Work Group meeting was hosted by Caltrans. The meeting was attended by representatives from the Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACOE), Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB), California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG), and the United States Coast Guard (USCG). During the meeting an SFOBB project update was provided, the scope of the existing SFOBB east span dismantling project was reviewed, all existing SFOBB east span dismantling-related permit requirements were outlined, various environmental considerations were reviewed, and agency representatives were given an opportunity to provide feedback.

- Caltrans is preparing packages to amend permits for the dismantling of the existing SFOBB east span. Currently, packages are being prepared for the following agencies: NOAA, CDFG, RWQCB, USCG, and BCDC. The Dredged Material Management Plan (DMMP) is being revisited and preparations are being made to request authorization from the Dredged Material Management Office (DMMO) for the dredging and disposal of material for the existing SFOBB east span dismantling.
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Interstate 880/State Route 92 Interchange Reconstruction Project

Project Status: In Construction

The Interstate 880/State Route 92 Interchange Reconstruction Project is the final project under the Regional Measure 1 Toll Bridge Program. Project completion fulfills a promise made to Bay Area voters in 1988 to deliver a slate of projects that help expand bridge capacity and improve safety on the bridges.

Interstate 880/State Route 92 Interchange Reconstruction Contract

Contractor: Flatiron/Granite
Approved Capital Outlay Budget: $163.2 M
Status: 91% Complete as of June 2011

This corridor is consistently one of the Bay Area’s most congested during the evening commute. This is due in part to the lane merging and weaving that is required by the existing cloverleaf interchange. The new interchange will feature direct freeway-to-freeway connector ramps that will increase traffic capacity and improve overall safety and traffic operations in the area. With the new direct-connector ramps, drivers coming off of the San Mateo-Hayward Bridge can access Interstate 880 without having to compete with traffic headed onto east Route 92 from south Interstate 880 (see progress photos on pages 82 and 83).
Stage 1 – Construct East Route 92 to North Interstate 880 Connector

The new east Route 92 to north Interstate 880 connector (ENCONN) is the most critical fly-over structure for relieving congestion in the corridor. The ENCONN will be first used as a detour to allow for future stages of work, while keeping traffic flowing.

Status: ENCONN was completed and opened to detour traffic on May 16, 2009.

Stage 2 – Replace South Side of Route 92 Separation Structure

By detouring eastbound Route 92 traffic onto ENCONN, the existing separation structure that carries SR92 over I-880 can be replaced. The existing structure will be cut lengthwise, and then demolished and replaced separately. In this stage, the south side of the structure will be replaced, while west Route 92 and south Interstate 880 to east Route 92 traffic will stay on the remaining structure.

Status: Work on the south side of the separation structure is complete.

Stage 3 – Replace North Side of Route 92 Separation Structure

Upon completion of Stage 2, the existing north side of the separation structure will be demolished and replaced. Its traffic will then be shifted onto the newly reconstructed south side.

Status: The north side of the structure opened to traffic in February 2011.

Stage 4 – Final Realignment and Other Work

In addition to ENCONN and the separation structure, direct north 880 to west 92 connector (NWCONN) and west 92 to south 880 connector (WSCONN) remain to be completed. The new Eldridge Avenue pedestrian overcrossing is now complete.

Status: The NWCONN structure opened to traffic in October 2010. The WSCONN structure is scheduled to be fully opened in August 2011.
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Other Completed Projects

San Mateo-Hayward Bridge-Widening Project

Project Status: Completed 2003

This project expanded the low-rise concrete trestle section of the San Mateo-Hayward Bridge to allow for three lanes in each direction to match the existing configuration of the high-rise steel section of the bridge.

Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Rehabilitation Projects

Project Status: Completed 2006

Two major rehabilitation projects for the Richmond-San Rafael Bridge were funded and completed: (1) replacement of the western concrete approach trestle and ship-collision protection fender system; and (2) rehabilitation of deck joints and resurfacing of the bridge deck.

In 2005, along with the seismic retrofit of the bridge, the trestle and fender replacement work was completed as part of the same project. Under a separate contract in 2006, the bridge was resurfaced with a polyester concrete overlay along with the repair of numerous deck joints.

Richmond Parkway Construction Project

Project Status: Completed 2001

The final connections to the Richmond Parkway from Interstate 580 near the Richmond-San Rafael Bridge were completed in May 2001.
New Alfred Zampa Memorial (Carquinez) Bridge Project  
Project Status: Completed 2003

The new western span of the Carquinez Bridge, which replaced the original 1927 span, is a twin-towered suspension bridge with three mixed-flow lanes, a new carpool lane, shoulders and a bicycle/pedestrian pathway.

Benicia-Martinez Bridge Project  
Project Status: Completed 2009

A two-year project to rehabilitate and reconfigure the original Benicia-Martinez Bridge began shortly after the opening of the new Congressman George Miller Bridge. The existing 1.2-mile roadway surface on the steel deck truss bridge was modified to carry four lanes of southbound traffic (one more than before)—with shoulders on both sides—plus a bicycle/pedestrian path on the west side of the span that connects to Park Road in Benicia and to Marina Vista Boulevard in Martinez. Reconstruction of the east side of the bridge and approaches was completed in August 2008. Reconstruction of the west side of the bridge and its approaches and construction of the bicycle/pedestrian pathway were completed in August 2009.

Bayfront Expressway (State Route 84) Widening Project  
Project Status: Completed 2004

This project expanded and improved the roadway from the Dumbarton Bridge touchdown to the US 101/ Marsh Road interchange by adding additional lanes and turn pockets and improving bicycle/pedestrian access in the area.
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## Appendix A-1: TBSRP AB 144/SB 66 Baseline Budget, Forecasts and Expenditures through June 30, 2011 ($ Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>e = c + d</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SFOBB East Span Replacement Project
- **Capital Outlay Support**: 959.3
- **Capital Outlay Construction**: 4,492.2
- **Other Budgeted Capital**: 35.1
- **Total**: 5,486.6

### SFOBB West Approach Replacement
- **Capital Outlay Support**: 120.0
- **Capital Outlay Construction**: 309.0
- **Total**: 429.0

### SFOBB West Span Retrofit
- **Capital Outlay Support**: 75.0
- **Capital Outlay Construction**: 232.9
- **Total**: 307.9

### Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Retrofit
- **Capital Outlay Support**: 134.0
- **Capital Outlay Construction**: 780.0
- **Total**: 914.0

### Benicia-Martinez Bridge Retrofit
- **Capital Outlay Support**: 38.1
- **Capital Outlay Construction**: 139.7
- **Total**: 177.8

### Carquinez Bridge Retrofit
- **Capital Outlay Support**: 28.7
- **Capital Outlay Construction**: 85.5
- **Total**: 114.2

### San Mateo-Hayward Retrofit
- **Capital Outlay Support**: 28.1
- **Capital Outlay Construction**: 135.4
- **Total**: 163.5

### Vincent Thomas Bridge Retrofit (Los Angeles)
- **Capital Outlay Support**: 16.4
- **Capital Outlay Construction**: 42.1
- **Total**: 58.5

### San Diego-Coronado Bridge Retrofit
- **Capital Outlay Support**: 33.5
- **Capital Outlay Construction**: 70.0
- **Total**: 103.5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>e = c + d</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Antioch Bridge
- **Capital Outlay Support**
  - Current: 31.0
  - Forecast: 13.6
  - Variance: 34.7
- **Capital Outlay Support by BATA**: 6.2
- **Capital Outlay Construction**
  - Current: 70.0
  - Forecast: 25.6
  - Variance: 56.9
- **Total**
  - Current: 101.0
  - Forecast: 45.4
  - Variance: 91.6

### Dumbarton Bridge
- **Capital Outlay Support**
  - Current: 56.0
  - Forecast: 21.0
  - Variance: 57.2
- **Capital Outlay Support by BATA**: 6.0
- **Capital Outlay Construction**
  - Current: 92.7
  - Forecast: 13.2
  - Variance: 88.8
- **Total**
  - Current: 148.7
  - Forecast: 40.2
  - Variance: 146.0

### Subtotal Capital Outlay Support
- Total: 1,433.1
- Forecast: 1,728.7
- Variance: 1,976.9
- Variance: 1,832.6
- Variance: 103.9

### Subtotal Capital Outlay
- Total: 6,286.8
- Forecast: 6,983.7
- Variance: 5,654.4
- Variance: 7,036.9
- Variance: 53.2

### Subtotal Other Budgeted Capital
- Total: 35.1
- Forecast: 31.8
- Variance: 0.7
- Variance: 7.7
- Variance: (24.1)

### Miscellaneous Program Costs
- Total: 30.0
- Forecast: 30.0
- Variance: 30.0
- Variance: 30.0
- Variance: -

### Subtotal Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program
- Total: 7,785.0
- Forecast: 8,774.2
- Variance: 7,157.5
- Variance: 8,907.2
- Variance: 133.0

### Net Programmatic Risks*
- Total: 900.0
- Forecast: 900.0
- Variance: 900.0
- Variance: 66.9
- Variance: 66.9

### Program Contingency
- Total: 900.0
- Forecast: 307.8
- Variance: 602.2
- Variance: 107.9
- Variance: (199.9)

### Total Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program
- Total: 8,685.0
- Forecast: 9,082.0
- Variance: 7,157.5
- Variance: 9,082.0
- Variance: -

---

*Figures may not sum up to totals due to rounding effects.*
## Appendix A-2: TBSRP AB 144/SB 66 Baseline Budget, Forecasts and Expenditures through June 30, 2011 ($ Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bridge</th>
<th>AB 144 Baseline Budget</th>
<th>TBPOC Current Approved Budget</th>
<th>Expenditures to date and Encumbrances as of June 2011 see Note (1)</th>
<th>Estimated costs not yet spent or Encumbered as of June 2011</th>
<th>Total Forecast as of June 2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Completed Projects</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>144.9</td>
<td>144.6</td>
<td>144.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>144.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td>472.6</td>
<td>471.9</td>
<td>472.6</td>
<td>(0.8)</td>
<td>471.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>617.5</td>
<td>616.5</td>
<td>617.2</td>
<td>(0.8)</td>
<td>616.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Richmond-San Rafael</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>134.0</td>
<td>127.0</td>
<td>126.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>127.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td>698.0</td>
<td>689.5</td>
<td>667.8</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>689.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Reserves</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>914.0</td>
<td>816.5</td>
<td>794.6</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>816.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>West Span Retrofit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td>232.9</td>
<td>227.4</td>
<td>232.9</td>
<td>(5.5)</td>
<td>227.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>307.9</td>
<td>302.2</td>
<td>307.7</td>
<td>(5.5)</td>
<td>302.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>West Approach</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>120.0</td>
<td>118.0</td>
<td>118.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>118.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td>309.0</td>
<td>350.7</td>
<td>345.7</td>
<td>(7.6)</td>
<td>338.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>429.0</td>
<td>468.7</td>
<td>463.9</td>
<td>(7.3)</td>
<td>456.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFOBB East Span - Skyway</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>197.0</td>
<td>181.2</td>
<td>181.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>181.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td>1293.0</td>
<td>1254.1</td>
<td>1345.7</td>
<td>(100.5)</td>
<td>1245.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1490.0</td>
<td>1435.3</td>
<td>1526.9</td>
<td>(100.5)</td>
<td>1426.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFOBB East Span - SAS - Superstructure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>214.6</td>
<td>375.5</td>
<td>331.5</td>
<td>144.7</td>
<td>476.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td>1753.7</td>
<td>2046.8</td>
<td>1532.6</td>
<td>546.3</td>
<td>2078.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1968.3</td>
<td>2422.3</td>
<td>1864.1</td>
<td>691.0</td>
<td>2555.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFOBB East Span - SAS - Foundations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td>339.9</td>
<td>307.3</td>
<td>309.3</td>
<td>(4.3)</td>
<td>305.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>402.4</td>
<td>344.9</td>
<td>346.9</td>
<td>(4.3)</td>
<td>342.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Small YBI Projects</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>YBI Detour</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td>87.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>88.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td>131.9</td>
<td>492.8</td>
<td>492.7</td>
<td>(9.9)</td>
<td>482.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>161.4</td>
<td>583.5</td>
<td>580.1</td>
<td>(9.1)</td>
<td>571.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>YBI- Transition Structures</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>106.4</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>117.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td>299.4</td>
<td>247.8</td>
<td>130.2</td>
<td>174.9</td>
<td>305.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>378.1</td>
<td>354.2</td>
<td>180.4</td>
<td>241.8</td>
<td>422.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract</th>
<th>AB 144 Baseline Budget</th>
<th>TBPOC Current Approved Budget</th>
<th>Expenditures to date and Encumbrances as of June 2011 see Note (1)</th>
<th>Estimated Costs not yet spent or Encumbered as of June 2011</th>
<th>Total Forecast as of June 2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland Touchdown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>108.9</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>117.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td>283.8</td>
<td>339.0</td>
<td>216.0</td>
<td>117.9</td>
<td>333.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>358.2</td>
<td>447.9</td>
<td>302.0</td>
<td>149.1</td>
<td>451.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Span Other Small Projects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>212.3</td>
<td>206.5</td>
<td>197.9</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>206.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td>170.8</td>
<td>170.8</td>
<td>118.9</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>154.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>383.1</td>
<td>377.3</td>
<td>316.8</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>361.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Bridge Demolition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>41.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td>239.2</td>
<td>239.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>250.8</td>
<td>250.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>318.9</td>
<td>299.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>291.2</td>
<td>291.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antioch Bridge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support by BATA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>56.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>101.0</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>91.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dumbarton Bridge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>51.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support by BATA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92.7</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>88.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>148.7</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>63.2</td>
<td>146.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Program Costs</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>1,463.2</td>
<td>1,758.7</td>
<td>1,519.9</td>
<td>342.7</td>
<td>1,862.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Capital Outlay</td>
<td>6,321.8</td>
<td>7,015.5</td>
<td>5,982.8</td>
<td>1,061.8</td>
<td>7,044.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Total 1</td>
<td>7,785.0</td>
<td>8,774.2</td>
<td>7,502.7</td>
<td>1,404.5</td>
<td>8,907.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1). Funds allocated to project or contract for Capital Outlay and Support needs includes Capital Outlay Support total allocation for FY 06/07.
(2). BSA provided a distribution of program contingency in December 2004 based in Bechtel Infrastructure Corporation input.
This Column is subject to revision upon completion of Department’s risk assessment update.
(3) Total Capital Outlay Support includes program indirect costs.

1 Figures may not sum up to totals due to rounding effects.
### San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge East Span Replacement Project

#### East Span - SAS Superstructure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>214.6</td>
<td>160.9</td>
<td>375.5</td>
<td>317.9</td>
<td>476.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td>1,753.7</td>
<td>293.1</td>
<td>2,046.8</td>
<td>1,530.8</td>
<td>2,078.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,968.3</strong></td>
<td><strong>454.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,422.3</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,848.7</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,555.1</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### SAS W2 Foundations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract</th>
<th>Capital Outlay Support</th>
<th>Capital Outlay Construction</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>(0.8)</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>36.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>(0.8)</strong></td>
<td><strong>35.6</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### YBI South/South Detour

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract</th>
<th>Capital Outlay Support</th>
<th>Capital Outlay Construction</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>90.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td>131.9</td>
<td>360.9</td>
<td>492.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>161.3</strong></td>
<td><strong>422.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>583.5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### East Span - Skyway

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract</th>
<th>Capital Outlay Support</th>
<th>Capital Outlay Construction</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>197.0</td>
<td>(15.8)</td>
<td>181.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td>1,293.0</td>
<td>(38.9)</td>
<td>1,254.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,490.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>(54.7)</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,435.3</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### East Span - SAS E2/T1 Foundations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract</th>
<th>Capital Outlay Support</th>
<th>Capital Outlay Construction</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>(24.1)</td>
<td>28.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td>313.5</td>
<td>(32.6)</td>
<td>280.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>366.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>(56.7)</strong></td>
<td><strong>303.9</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### YBI Transition Structures (see notes below)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract</th>
<th>Capital Outlay Support</th>
<th>Capital Outlay Construction</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>106.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td>299.3</td>
<td>(51.5)</td>
<td>247.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>378.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>(23.8)</strong></td>
<td><strong>354.2</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* YBI- Transition Structures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract</th>
<th>Capital Outlay Support</th>
<th>Capital Outlay Construction</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>16.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>16.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>16.5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* YBI- Transition Structures Contract No. 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract</th>
<th>Capital Outlay Support</th>
<th>Capital Outlay Construction</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>80.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td>185.5</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>228.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>242.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>66.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>295.9</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* YBI- Transition Structures Contract No. 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract</th>
<th>Capital Outlay Support</th>
<th>Capital Outlay Construction</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>91.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>8.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>109.1</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* YBI- Transition Structures Contract No. 3 Landscape

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract</th>
<th>Capital Outlay Support</th>
<th>Capital Outlay Construction</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>4.3</strong></td>
<td><strong>-</strong></td>
<td><strong>4.3</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland Touchdown (see notes below)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td>283.8</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>358.2</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*OTD Prior-to-Split Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*OTD Submarine Cable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*OTD No.1 (Westbound)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*OTD No.2 (Eastbound)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Oakland Detour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*OTD Electrical Systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Existing Bridge Demolition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>(19.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td>239.2</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>318.9</td>
<td>(19.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YBI/SAS Archeology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YBI - USCG Road Relations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YBI - Substation and Viaduct</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix B: TBSRP (SFOBB East Span Only) AB 144/SB 66 Baseline Budget, Forecasts and Expenditures through June 30, 2011 ($ Millions) Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>e = c + d</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oakland Geofill</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pile Installation Demonstration Project</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stormwater Treatment Measures</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Right-of-Way and Environmental Mitigation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay &amp; Right-of-Way</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sunk Cost - Existing East Span Retrofit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Capital Outlay Support</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Phase</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Split Project Expenditures</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-project Specific Costs</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>(8.0)</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>162.6</td>
<td>(8.0)</td>
<td>154.6</td>
<td>145.9</td>
<td>154.6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal Capital Outlay Support</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>959.3</td>
<td>218.0</td>
<td>1,177.3</td>
<td>965.5</td>
<td>1,275.8</td>
<td>98.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal Capital Outlay Construction</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4,492.2</td>
<td>589.4</td>
<td>5,081.6</td>
<td>3,919.3</td>
<td>5,164.4</td>
<td>82.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Budgeted Capital</strong></td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>(3.3)</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>(24.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total SFOBB East Span Replacement Project</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5,486.6</td>
<td>804.1</td>
<td>6,290.7</td>
<td>4,885.5</td>
<td>6,447.9</td>
<td>157.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Figures may not sum up to totals due to rounding effects.
## Appendix C: Regional Measure 1 Program Cost Detail ($ Millions)

### New Benicia-Martinez Bridge Project

#### New Bridge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>e = c + d</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BATA Funding</td>
<td>84.9</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>92.1</td>
<td>91.9</td>
<td>92.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Bata Funding</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>84.9</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BATA Funding</td>
<td>661.9</td>
<td>94.6</td>
<td>756.5</td>
<td>753.7</td>
<td>756.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Bata Funding</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>672.0</td>
<td>94.6</td>
<td>766.6</td>
<td>763.8</td>
<td>766.6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>756.9</td>
<td>101.9</td>
<td>858.8</td>
<td>855.8</td>
<td>858.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### I-680/I-780 Interchange Reconstruction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>e = c + d</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BATA Funding</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Bata Funding</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BATA Funding</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Bata Funding</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>103.2</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>103.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>102.6</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>139.9</td>
<td>135.2</td>
<td>140.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### I-680/Marina Vista Interchange Reconstruction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>e = c + d</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### New Toll Plaza and Administration Building

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>e = c + d</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Existing Bridge & Interchange Modifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>e = c + d</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BATA Funding</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Bata Funding</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BATA Funding</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Bata Funding</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other Contracts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>e = c + d</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>(0.9)</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Right-of-Way</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>e = c + d</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>h = g - e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**New Benicia-Martinez Bridge Project continued...**

- **Subtotal BATA Capital Outlay Support**: $155.7 million  
  - Approved Changes: $30.9 million  
  - Cost Forecast: $186.6 million  
  - At-Completion Variance: $186.6 million
- **Subtotal BATA Capital Outlay Construction**: $829.9 million  
  - Approved Changes: $164.5 million  
  - Cost Forecast: $994.4 million  
  - At-Completion Variance: $994.4 million
- **Subtotal Capital Outlay Right-of-Way**: $20.4 million  
  - Approved Changes: $(0.1) million  
  - Cost Forecast: $20.3 million  
  - At-Completion Variance: $20.3 million
- **Subtotal Non-BATA Capital Outlay Support**: $1.4 million  
  - Approved Changes: $6.2 million  
  - Cost Forecast: $7.6 million  
  - At-Completion Variance: $7.6 million
- **Subtotal Non-BATA Capital Outlay Construction**: $31.7 million  
  - Approved Changes: $9.5 million  
  - Cost Forecast: $41.2 million  
  - At-Completion Variance: $41.3 million

**Project Reserves**: $20.8 million  
- Approved Changes: $1.6 million  
- Cost Forecast: $22.4 million  
- At-Completion Variance: $22.3 million

**Total New Benicia-Martinez Bridge Project**: $1,059.9 million  
- Approved Changes: $212.6 million  
- Cost Forecast: $1,272.5 million  
- At-Completion Variance: $1,209.1 million

**Notes**: Includes EA’s 00601, 00603, 00605, 00606, 00608, 00609, 0060A, 0060C, 0060E, 0060F, 0060G, 0060H, and all Project Right-of-Way.

**Carquinez Bridge Replacement Project**

**New Bridge**
- **Capital Outlay Support**: $60.5 million  
  - Approved Changes: $(0.3) million  
  - Cost Forecast: $60.2 million  
  - At-Completion Variance: $60.2 million
- **Capital Outlay Construction**: $253.3 million  
  - Approved Changes: $2.7 million  
  - Cost Forecast: $256.0 million  
  - At-Completion Variance: $256.0 million

**Total**: $313.8 million  
- Approved Changes: $2.4 million  
- Cost Forecast: $316.2 million  
- At-Completion Variance: $316.2 million

**Crockett Interchange Reconstruction**
- **Capital Outlay Support**: $32.0 million  
  - Approved Changes: $(0.1) million  
  - Cost Forecast: $31.9 million  
  - At-Completion Variance: $31.9 million
- **Capital Outlay Construction**: $73.9 million  
  - Approved Changes: $(1.9) million  
  - Cost Forecast: $72.0 million  
  - At-Completion Variance: $72.0 million

**Total**: $105.9 million  
- Approved Changes: $(2.0) million  
- Cost Forecast: $103.9 million  
- At-Completion Variance: $103.9 million

**Existing 1927 Bridge Demolition**
- **Capital Outlay Support**: $16.1 million  
  - Approved Changes: $(0.3) million  
  - Cost Forecast: $15.8 million  
  - At-Completion Variance: $15.8 million
- **Capital Outlay Construction**: $35.2 million  
  - Approved Changes: $(0.1) million  
  - Cost Forecast: $34.8 million  
  - At-Completion Variance: $34.8 million

**Total**: $51.3 million  
- Approved Changes: $(0.3) million  
- Cost Forecast: $50.5 million  
- At-Completion Variance: $50.5 million

**Other Contracts**
- **Capital Outlay Support**: $15.8 million  
  - Approved Changes: $0.9 million  
  - Cost Forecast: $16.7 million  
  - At-Completion Variance: $16.7 million
- **Capital Outlay Construction**: $18.8 million  
  - Approved Changes: $(1.2) million  
  - Cost Forecast: $17.6 million  
  - At-Completion Variance: $17.6 million
- **Capital Outlay Right-of-Way**: $10.5 million  
  - Approved Changes: $(0.1) million  
  - Cost Forecast: $9.9 million  
  - At-Completion Variance: $9.9 million

**Total**: $45.1 million  
- Approved Changes: $(0.4) million  
- Cost Forecast: $44.7 million  
- At-Completion Variance: $44.7 million

**Subtotal BATA Capital Outlay Support**: $124.4 million  
- Approved Changes: $0.2 million  
- Cost Forecast: $124.6 million  
- At-Completion Variance: $124.6 million

**Subtotal BATA Capital Outlay Construction**: $381.2 million  
- Approved Changes: $(0.4) million  
- Cost Forecast: $380.8 million  
- At-Completion Variance: $380.8 million

**Subtotal Capital Outlay Right-of-Way**: $10.5 million  
- Approved Changes: $(0.1) million  
- Cost Forecast: $9.9 million  
- At-Completion Variance: $9.9 million

**Project Reserves**: $12.1 million  
- Approved Changes: $(9.7) million  
- Cost Forecast: $2.4 million  
- At-Completion Variance: $2.4 million

**Total Carquinez Bridge Replacement Project**: $528.2 million  
- Approved Changes: $(10.0) million  
- Cost Forecast: $518.2 million  
- At-Completion Variance: $518.2 million


1 Figures may not sum up to totals due to rounding effects.
Appendix C: Regional Measure 1 Program Cost Detail ($ Millions) Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>e = c + d</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>h = g - e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Trestle, Fender, and Deck Joint Rehabilitation**

**Capital Outlay Support**

- BATA Funding: 2.2 (0.8) 1.4 1.4 1.4 -
- Non-BATA Funding: 8.6 1.8 10.4 10.4 10.4 -
- Subtotal: 10.8 1.0 11.8 11.8 11.8 -

**Capital Outlay Construction**

- BATA Funding: 40.2 (6.8) 33.4 33.3 33.4 -
- Non-BATA Funding: 51.1 - 51.1 51.1 51.1 -
- Subtotal: 91.3 (6.8) 84.5 84.4 84.5 -

**Total**: 102.1 (5.0) 97.1 96.2 97.1 -

**Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Deck Overlay Rehabilitation**

**Capital Outlay Support**

- BATA Funding: 4.0 (0.7) 3.3 3.3 3.3 -
- Non-BATA Funding: 4.0 (4.0) - - - -
- Subtotal: 8.0 (4.7) 3.3 3.3 3.3 -

**Capital Outlay Construction**

- BATA Funding: 16.9 (0.6) 16.3 16.3 16.3 -
- Project Reserves: 0.1 0.3 0.4 - 0.4 -
- Total: 25.0 (5.0) 20.0 19.6 20.0 -

**Richmond Parkway Project (RM 1 Share Only)**

**Capital Outlay Support**

- Capital Outlay Support: - - - - -

**Capital Outlay Construction**

- Capital Outlay Construction: 5.9 - 5.9 4.3 5.9 -
- Total: 5.9 - 5.9 4.3 5.9 -

**San Mateo-Hayward Bridge Widening**

**Capital Outlay Support**

- Capital Outlay Support: 34.6 (0.5) 34.1 34.1 34.1 -

**Capital Outlay Construction**

- Capital Outlay Construction: 180.2 (6.1) 174.1 174.1 174.1 -

**Capital Outlay Right-of-Way**

- Capital Outlay Right-of-Way: 1.5 (0.9) 0.6 0.5 0.6 -

**Project Reserves**

- Project Reserves: 1.5 (0.5) 1.0 - 1.0 -

**Total**: 217.8 (8.0) 209.8 208.7 209.8 -

**I-880/SR-92 Interchange Reconstruction**

**Capital Outlay Support**

- Capital Outlay Support: 28.8 35.8 64.6 59.2 64.6 -

**Capital Outlay Construction**

- BATA Funding: 85.2 68.4 153.6 130.7 153.6 -

**Capital Outlay Right-of-Way**

- Capital Outlay Right-of-Way: 9.6 - 9.6 - 9.6 -

**Project Reserves**

- Project Reserves: 0.3 (0.3) - - - -

**Total**: 133.8 111.2 245.0 204.4 245.0 -

**Bayfront Expressway Widening**

**Capital Outlay Support**

- Capital Outlay Support: 8.6 (0.2) 8.4 8.3 8.4 -

**Capital Outlay Construction**

- Capital Outlay Construction: 26.5 (1.5) 25.0 24.9 25.0 -

**Capital Outlay Right-of-Way**

- Capital Outlay Right-of-Way: 0.2 - 0.2 0.2 - 0.2 -

**Project Reserves**

- Project Reserves: 0.8 (0.3) 0.5 - 0.5 -

**Total**: 36.1 (2.0) 34.1 33.4 34.1 -
### US 101/University Avenue Interchange Modification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>e = c + d</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal BATA Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>358.3</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>423.0</td>
<td>415.9</td>
<td>423.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal BATA Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td>1,569.8</td>
<td>217.5</td>
<td>1,787.3</td>
<td>1,734.0</td>
<td>1,787.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal Capital Outlay Right-of-Way</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal Non-BATA Capital Outlay Support</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal Non-BATA Capital Outlay Construction</td>
<td>92.4</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>101.9</td>
<td>82.9</td>
<td>102.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Reserves</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>(8.1)</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total RM1 Program</strong></td>
<td>2,112.6</td>
<td>293.8</td>
<td>2,406.4</td>
<td>2,292.5</td>
<td>2,406.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
1 Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Trestle, Fender, and Deck Joint Rehabilitation
   Includes Non-TBSRP Expenses for EAs 0438U and 04157
2 San Mateo-Hayward Bridge Widening includes EAs 00305_04501_,04503_,04504_,04504_,04505_,04506_,04507_,04508_,04509_,27740_,27790_,04860_
Appendix D: Progress Diagrams
Yerba Buena Island Transition Structures
Aerial View of the Newly Installed Westbound Back Span Catwalk for Installation of Cables
Appendix E: Project Progress Photographs

Self-Anchored Suspension Bridge Fabrication

Self-Anchored Suspension Bridge Roadway Box Being Inspected before Shipment

Self-Anchored Suspension Bridge Roadway Box 14 East Bay
2011 Second Quarter Project Progress and Financial Update

Self-Anchored Suspension Bridge Roadway Box

San Francisco-Oakland Bridge Bike Path Rails

Self-Anchored Suspension Bridge Lifting Attachments for the Roadway Boxes
Appendix E: Project Progress Photographs
Self-Anchored Suspension Bridge Field Work

Cable Catwalk Installation for the Westbound Backspan
Self-Anchored Suspension Bridge Bike Path Deck Installation in Progress
Tower Saddle Being Lowered next to the Grillage
Tower Saddle Installed on Grillage

Looking West from the top of the Tower are the Installed Roadway Boxes, the Yerba Buena Island Transition Structure and the Downtown San Francisco Skyline.
Appendix E: Project Progress Photographs

92/880 Interchange

Under Drain Installation in Progress along J-7 Line

WSCONN Bridge Construction in Progress
Simulation of SR 880 Looking South

SR-92 Eldridge Avenue Pedestrian Over-Crossing
Appendix E: Project Progress Photographs

Antioch Bridge

Antioch Bridge - Soil Samples from Seismic Monitoring Down Hole

Antioch Bridge - Painting of Cross Frame
Appendix E: Project Progress Photographs
Dumbarton Bridge

Dumbarton Bridge - Forming Pump Station Walls

Dumbarton Bridge - Welding of New Cross-Frame Connection Pier 17
Appendix E: Project Progress Photographs

Oakland Detour

Oakland Detour - Temporary Westbound Piles Being Prepared for Installation

Oakland Detour - Relocated Eastbound Temporary Detour
Aerial View of the Newly Opened Eastbound Oakland Detour with the EBMUD Outfall Crossing Structure on the right, the Relocated Clear Channel Sign and the Westbound Oakland Detour under Construction.
Appendix E: Project Progress Photographs

Yerba Buena Island Transition Structure #1 Westbound

Yerba Buena Island Transition Structures #1 Westbound Concrete Pour

Yerba Buena Island Transition Structures #1 Westbound Concrete Pour with Newly Installed Catwalks to Tower in Background
View from the top of the Self-Anchored Suspension Bridge’s Tower Looking Down on the Yerba Buena Island Transition Structures #1
Westbound Falsework, Formwork and Rebar Installation in Progress
Glossary of Terms

AB144/SB 66 BUDGET: The planned allocation of resources for the Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program, or subordinate projects or contracts, as provided in Assembly Bill 144 and Senate Bill 66, signed into law by Governor Schwarzenegger on July 18, 2005 and September 29, 2005, respectively.

BATA BUDGET: The planned allocation of resources for the Regional Measure 1 Program, or subordinate projects or contracts as authorized by the Bay Area Toll Authority as of June 2005.

APPROVED CHANGES: For cost, changes to the AB144/SB 66 Budget or BATA Budget as approved by the Bay Area Toll Authority Commission. For schedule, changes to the AB 144/SB 66 Project Complete Baseline approved by the Toll Bridge Program Oversight Committee, or changes to the BATA Project Complete Baseline approved by the Bay Area Toll Authority Commission.

CURRENT APPROVED BUDGET: The sum of the AB144/SB66 Budget or BATA Budget and Approved Changes.

COST TO DATE: The actual expenditures incurred by the program, project or contract as of the month and year shown.

COST FORECAST: The current forecast of all of the costs that are projected to be expended so as to complete the given scope of the program, project, or contract.

AT COMPLETION VARIANCE or VARIANCE (cost): The mathematical difference between the Cost Forecast and the Current Approved Budget.

AB 144/SB 66 PROJECT COMPLETE BASELINE: The planned completion date for the Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program or subordinate projects or contracts.

BATA PROJECT COMPLETE BASELINE: The planned completion date for the Regional Measure 1 Program or subordinate projects or contracts.

PROJECT COMPLETE CURRENT APPROVED SCHEDULE: The sum of the AB144/SB66 Project Complete Baseline or BATA Project Complete Baseline and Approved Changes.

PROJECT COMPLETE SCHEDULE FORECAST: The current projected date for the completion of the program, project, or contract.

SCHEDULE VARIANCE or VARIANCE (schedule): The mathematical difference expressed in months between the Project Complete Schedule Forecast and the Project Complete Current Approved Schedule.

% COMPLETE: % Complete is based on an evaluation of progress on the project, expenditures to date, and schedule.
The information in this report is provided in accordance with California Government code Section 755. This document is one of a series of reports prepared for the Bay Area Toll Authority (BATA)/Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) for the Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit and Regional Measure 1 Programs. The contract value for the monitoring efforts, technical analysis, and field site works that contribute to these reports, as well as the report preparation and production is $1,574,873.73.